

To: Borsz, Patrice[Borsz.Patrice@epa.gov]
From: Egeghy, Peter
Sent: Thur 9/22/2016 3:40:05 PM
Subject: RE: FOIA request
Re: IARC Monograph vol 112
RE: Glyphosate regulations (former Section 1.5)
Re: IARC Monograph vol 112- EFSA Review of Glyphosate
RE: Draft Human Health Risk Assessment for Glyphosate

Hi Patrice,

As requested here are some sample email threads.

“Re: IARC Monograph vol 112” is an example of routine conversations related to producing sections of the pre-meeting draft document.

“RE: Glyphosate regulations (former Section 1.5)” is a thread that represents follow-up writing after the meeting to include information identified as missing during the workgroup meeting.

“Re: IARC Monograph vol 112 - EFSA Review of Glyphosate” is a tread that concerns a response from the working group to the evaluation by the European Food Safety Agency which contradicted the IARC assessment.

“RE: Draft Human Health Risk Assessment for Glyphosate” is part of a thread that represents some activities occurring within EPA following the publication of the IARC monograph.

Please let me know if I can give you anything else. Thank you for your help with this.

Thanks,
Peter

From: Borsz, Patrice
Sent: Wednesday, September 21, 2016 8:58 AM
To: Egeghy, Peter <Egeghy.Peter@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: FOIA request

Peter,

They have requested a fee waiver, but it has not been granted yet. We should know within a day or two if it has been. I need to discuss the document you forwarded to me with my contact in DC. I suspect we will have to redact your emails if they contain any proprietary information. Thanks for the info below. I'll let you know how to proceed.

Thanks!

Patrice

From: Egeghy, Peter
Sent: Wednesday, September 21, 2016 8:55 AM
To: Borsz, Patrice <Borsz.Patrice@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: FOIA request

Hi Patrice,

I've taken a look at the request for "copies of all email, SameTime and text correspondence". We never used SameTime or text messaging, so that leaves only email.

If this means simply forwarding individual email messages in manageable groups, then it should not take me more than **30 minutes**.

If I need to skim each message and remove information that might be considered proprietary to WHO/IARC, then it will take much longer, as I have about 65 emails from the leads, a couple dozen from co-authors, and a response to nearly all of those. Assuming roughly 200 emails to scan through, it would take about **2 hours**.

Thanks,
Peter

Peter P. Egeghy, Ph.D. M.P.H.
Chief, Human Exposure and Dose Modeling Branch
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency | Office of Research and Development
Mail Drop E205-04 | Research Triangle Park, NC 27711
(919) 541-4103 | egeghy.peter@epa.gov

From: Borsz, Patrice
Sent: Wednesday, September 21, 2016 8:00 AM
To: Egeghy, Peter <Egeghy.Peter@epa.gov>
Cc: Watkins, Tim <Watkins.Tim@epa.gov>
Subject: FOIA request

Peter,

This is the FOIA we just talked about.

Thanks,

Patrice

Patrice S. Borsz
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (E205-09)
National Exposure Research Laboratory
On Detail to SED
Research Triangle Park, NC 27711

Telephone: (919) 541-5233
email: borsz.patrice@epa.gov